

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

11 Oct 80

| | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------|
| TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post) | | Initials | Date |
| 1. MAJ HAY | | | |
| 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| 4. | | | |
| 5. | | | |
| Action | File | Note and Return | |
| Approval | For Clearance | Per Conversation | |
| As Requested | For Correction | Prepare Reply | |
| Circulate | For Your Information | See Me | |
| Comment | Investigate | Signature | |
| Coordination | Justify | | |

REMARKS

John -

Guess I'd recommend That you go
Ahead w. Th. This proposal.

(1) Even Though OPSEC personnel (902d)
have this AS A MISSION, it might be very
interesting to compare methods, procedures,
etc...

(2) It would give us the opportunity
to ASSESS A. TANDOS which might
(over)

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals,
clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No.—Bldg.

Phone No.

Approved For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP96-00789R003000040007-8
eventually lead to Army Asking him
to do consultant work directly for US.
I believe more people Think better of
TANOV Than They do I.S. Good chance
- for us to observe @ A relatively
low \$ figure.

(3) IF ACST Goes Ahead with This,
I'd like to be brought in --- only AS
AN observer/Assistant to The Project
Manager to enable me to establish
Rapport with TANOVs.

Thanks for the article,

Scotty